

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,230		07/15/2003	Hidenori Harima	WAKA 20.515	8514
26304	7590	06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
		IN ROSENMAN	CHANG, JOSEPH		
	575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2817	
				DATE MAILED: 06/17/200	<

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>-</i>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/620,230	HARIMA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph Chang	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	lav 2005.					
		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5\□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8, 10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-0, 10 and 11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subjected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
· ·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv	ion No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6778029 in view of Harima, US 20040036547. The claims of U.S. Patent No. 6778029 recites a crystal unit: a planar substrate, a crystal blank, a metal film, a concave metal cover as stated in the claim of this instant application. However, the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6778029 lack of recitation of "a mounting substrate on an IC chip is mounted and which is bonded to a rear surface of said crystal unit and an electric component which is mounted on one end of a surface of said mounting substrate on which said crystal unit is bonded". Harima US 2004/0036547 (Claims 4-8 and 11) recites the above structures that lacks in U.S. Patent No. 6778029. The mounting substrate is necessary to mount the crystal unit on the mounting substrate recited in Harima US 2004/0036547. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to mount

Art Unit: 2817

the crystal unit recited in U.S. Patent No. 6778029 on the mounting substrate of Harima US 2004/0036547 because such an arrangement would have been obvious since it would have been necessary for reducing an area to mount the crystal unit on a wiring board.

The provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection presented in the previous Office Action is no longer provisional because the conflicting claims have in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument directed to the rejections of claim 1-9 based on double patenting obviated by the filing of the terminal disclaimer is not persuasive. The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because an attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2817

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4800. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 0800-1630.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Joseph Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2817